

## Evaluation of a Rapid Immunoassay for Point-of-Care Detection of Bacteria in Cat Urine

Julie Byron

*Associate Professor, Companion Animal Internal Medicine*

*The Ohio State University College of Veterinary Medicine*

Lower urinary tract signs in cats (LUTS) are more frequently due to sterile inflammation rather than infection. Practitioners may forgo microbiological culture due to cost, and prescribe antimicrobial agents empirically, incurring unnecessary selection pressure and side effects on most patients. Therefore, a rapid screening test for detection of bacteria in cat urine could direct appropriate therapy.

A "dip-stick" style immunoassay to detect bacteria was performed on feline urine (n = 100) submitted for routine bacterial culture in a Veterinary Teaching Hospital. The immunoassay was performed according to manufacturer instructions and culture was performed quantitatively on Columbia agar with 5% sheep's blood, with a maximum 72 hour incubation time at 35 °C in ambient air. Bacteria were identified using matrix-assisted laser-desorption time-of-flight mass spectrometry.

Eleven samples yielded  $\geq 100,000$  cfu/ml in culture, ten of which (90.9%) were positive on the immunoassay, and consisted of *E. coli* (n = 5), *Enterococcus* spp. (n = 5), and *Staphylococcus felis* (n = 1). Three samples yielded  $\leq 200$  cfu/ml, one of which (33.3%) was positive on the immunoassay, and consisted of *E. coli* (n = 2), and *Staphylococcus felis* + *E. coli* (n = 1). The immunoassay was positive for two (2.3%) culture negative samples. The immunoassay correctly categorized the bacteria as gram-negative or gram-positive for eleven (100%) of the concordant samples.

When samples with  $\leq 1000$  cfu/ml were considered negative, sensitivity and specificity of the immunoassay were 90.9% and 96.6% respectively, with positive and negative predictive values of 76.9% and 98.9%. Given the low prevalence of bacterial cystitis in cats, these data suggest that the immunoassay is a useful screening tool for cats presenting with LUTS.

---

Dr. Byron is an Associate Professor in Small Animal Internal Medicine at The Ohio State University. She received her DVM from OSU in 1998, completed a rotating internship at VCA West Los Angeles Animal Hospital and completed her residency in Internal Medicine at OSU in 2004. She was a faculty member at University of Illinois College of Veterinary Medicine for 6 years and joined OSU's faculty in 2011. Her Master's research involved diagnosis and treatment of urinary incontinence, and she continues to be active in the field of urology and nephrology today. She has received a number of teaching honors, including the Norden/Pfizer Teaching Excellence Award in 2011 and The Dean's Award for Creativity in Teaching in 2015.



---

## Diagnostic accuracy of a rapid immunoassay for point-of-care detection of urinary tract infection in dogs

Megan E. Jacob, PhD; M. Denise Crowell, MS; Megan B. Fauls, BS;  
Emily H. Griffith, PhD; Kelli K. Ferris, DVM

**OBJECTIVE:** To determine the diagnostic accuracy of a rapid immunoassay (RIA) for point-of-care detection of urinary tract infection (UTI) of dogs, compared with criterion-referenced diagnosis with bacterial culture.

**SAMPLE:** 200 urine samples obtained from dogs and submitted to a veterinary microbiology diagnostic laboratory for routine bacterial culture and antimicrobial susceptibility determination.

**PROCEDURES:** Samples were evaluated by use of quantitative bacterial culture and the RIA. Sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values of the RIA were calculated; results of bacterial culture were the criterion-referenced outcome. A  $\kappa$  statistic was calculated to determine agreement between bacterial culture and RIA results.

**RESULTS:** 56 of 200 (28%) urine samples had positive results for bacterial growth by use of culture methods; there were 38 (19%) positive results likely to be associated with bacterial UTI on the basis of sample collection method and bacterial concentration. Sensitivity and specificity of the RIA for detecting samples likely to be associated with UTI ( $\geq 1,000$  CFUs/mL) were 97.4% and 98.8%, respectively. The positive and negative predictive values of the RIA for bacterial cultures with likely UTI were 0.949 and 0.994, respectively. Agreement between bacterial culture and RIA outcome for UTI was substantial (weighted  $\kappa$ , 0.718).

**CONCLUSIONS AND CLINICAL RELEVANCE:** The RIA test evaluated in this study accurately detected UTI of dogs, compared with detection with the criterion-referenced bacterial culture method. Use of this point-of-care RIA could allow clinicians to diagnose UTI at the time of a patient visit and provide information useful for immediately initiating empirical antimicrobial treatment. (Am J Vet Res 2016;77:162-166)

---

Department of Population Health and Pathobiology, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27607. (Jacob);  
North Carolina State Veterinary Hospital, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27607. (Crowell, Fauls);  
Department of Statistics, College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27607. (Griffith);  
Department of Clinical Sciences, College of Veterinary Medicine, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27607. (Ferris)

Address correspondence to Dr. Jacob (mejacob@ncsu.edu).